

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/618,977	10/618,977 07/14/2003		Brian L. Bates	PA-5335-RFB	5904	
9896	7590	09/06/2006		EXAMINER		
		TENT OFFICE	SWEET, THOMAS			
P.O. BOX 2: BLOOMING		N 47402		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	,			3738		
				DATE MAILED: 09/06/2000	DATE MAILED: 09/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/618,977	BATES ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Thomas J. Sweet	3738						
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence add	iress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN .136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC te, cause the application to become A	ICATION.  I reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this con ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
·— ·								
· —	since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	• • •	- ·					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PT	O-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	nts have been received.  Its have been received in ority documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National S	Stage					
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application						

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with traverse filed 07/21/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argument that both Group I and Group II should properly be classified in class 424, subclass 423, is not persuasive since the claim lines between class 424 and 623 is the inclusion of 623 structure.

Applicant's arguments with traverse, filed 07/21/2006, with respect to Species A is included in or part of each of Species B-D, i.e. these species overlap in scope have been fully considered and are persuasive with respect to Species B and C. Species B and C are each a combination with Species A. Where as Species D is differentiated from Species A by not having 26 and 28 layered together. Therefore, restriction requirement has been withdrawn, in favor of the following new restriction requirement.

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-6, 10-14, 16-17 and 19-20, drawn to a coated endoluminal medical device and expandable structure, classified in class 623, subclass 1.11.
- II. Claims 7-9, 15 and 18, drawn to coated endoluminal medical device, classified in class 424, subclass 423.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group I and Group II are related as combination and subcombination.

Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the

instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because claim 7 is an evidence claim that the particulars of the subcombination are required for patentability. The subcombination has separate utility such as a drug diffusing implant.

Page 3

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Upon election of one of the groups above a further election of species is required.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species B-fig. 9 (including fig. 8)

Species C-fig. 10 (including fig. 8)

Species D-fig. 11

The species are independent or distinct because they are non-obvious variations requiring a burdensome search to Examine and are not discloses as obvious variants.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim(s) appears generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 3738

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

A telephone call was made to Richard Godlewski on 8/31/2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Application/Control Number: 10/618,977 Page 5

Art Unit: 3738

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas J. Sweet whose telephone number is 571-272-4761. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 am - 5:00pm, M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine M. McDermott can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Thomas J Sweet Examiner

Musporm

Art Unit 3738